

L_∞ -Bound of L_2 -Projections onto Splines on a Geometric Mesh*

Y. Y. FENG

Department of Mathematics, Chinese University of Science and Technology, Hefei, Anhui Province, People's Republic of China

AND

J. KOZAK

Matematika in Mehanika, Univerza Edvarda,
Kardelja, Yugoslavia

Communicated by Carl de Boor

Received March 3, 1981

In [Feng and Kozak, *J. Approx. Theory* 32 (1981), 327–338], another proof of the boundedness of L_2 -projections onto splines on a geometric mesh was given. In this paper, the sharp lower bound is obtained for the inverse of the corresponding B -spline Gram matrix. Namely, $\|G_r^{-1}\|_\infty = \Pi_{2k-1}(q'; q)/\Pi_{2k-1}(-q'; q) \geq 2k - 1$, for $r = k, k - 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with the explanation of some notations.

$$\Pi_n(\lambda; q) := \frac{1}{n! t^n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n (q^j - \lambda)$$

is the generalized Euler–Frobenius polynomial of order n , and $t := \ln q$. $\binom{n}{r} := n!/r!(n-r)!$, is a binomial coefficient. $a_{n,i}(q)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) are the coefficients of the polynomial defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{n,i}(q) \lambda^i := \frac{1}{\gamma_n(q-1)^n} \Pi_n(\lambda; q), \quad \gamma_n := \frac{1}{n! t^n}.$$

* Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

$a_{n,i}^{(j)}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $j = 0, \dots, i(n-1-i)$) are the coefficients of the polynomial defined by

$$a_{n,i}(q) =: q^{(n-i)(n-1-i)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{i(n-1-i)} a_{n,i}^{(j)} q^j.$$

Given a biinfinite geometric knot sequence $t := (q^i)_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ for some $q \in (0, \infty)$ with

$$t_{\pm\infty} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \pm\infty} t_i, \quad I := (t_{-\infty}, t_{+\infty}),$$

we denote by

$$N_{n,i} := (t_{i+n} - t_i)[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+n}](-x)_+^{n-1}$$

the corresponding B -splines normalized so that

$$\sum_i N_{n,i}(x) = 1$$

and by $S_{n,t} := \text{span}\{N_{n,i}\}$ the space of splines of degree $n-1$ with knots t .

We can consider the projectors $P_{k,r}: C(I) \rightarrow S_{2k-r,t}$ defined by the conditions that

$$P_{k,r}f = \sum_i a_i(f) N_{2k-r,i}$$

and

$$\sum_j (N_{r,i}, N_{2k-r,j}) a_j(f) = (N_{r,i}, f),$$

with $(f, g) := \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx$. Then $P_{k,0}$ is the interpolation projector and $P_{k,k}$ the usual L_2 -projector onto $S_{k,t}$.

This paper is a continuation of [1]. In [1] the uniform boundedness of

$$\|G_r^{-1}\|_\infty = \left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^r; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^r; q)} \right|$$

for $q \in (0, \infty)$ with $r = k$, $k-1$ was proved. Here, G_r^{-1} is the inverse of corresponding B -spline Gram matrix. In this paper we obtain the sharp lower bound for $\|G_r^{-1}\|_\infty$. Namely, we prove that for any $q \in (0, \infty)$ and $r = k$, $k-1$, the inequality

$$\|G_r^{-1}\|_\infty = \left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^r; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^r; q)} \right| \geq 2k-1$$

holds.

In order to prove this, we need some properties of $\Pi_n(\lambda; q)$ which were studied in [1] and [2]. For the reader's convenience we copy some of them as follows.

PROPOSITION 1 [2]. *$\Pi_n(\lambda; q)$ satisfies a “difference-delay” equation*

$$\Pi_0(\lambda; q) := 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{n+1}(\lambda; q) = & \frac{1}{(n+1)t} ((1-\lambda)q^n \Pi_n(q^{-1}\lambda; q) \\ & - (q^{n+1}-\lambda)\Pi_n(\lambda; q)), \quad n=0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2 [1]. *The polynomial $\Pi_n(\lambda; q)$ satisfies*

$$\Pi_n(\lambda; q) = \lambda^{n-1} q^{-n(n-1)/2} \Pi_n(q^n \lambda^{-1}; q). \quad (1.1)$$

The coefficients $a_{n,i}(q)$ can be computed recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1,i}(q) = & (q-1)^{-1} ((q^{n+1}-q^{n-i}) a_{n,i}(q) \\ & + (q^{n+1-i}-1) a_{n,i-1}(q)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$a_{n,0}(q) := 1, \quad a_{n,-1}(q) = a_{n,n}(q) := 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3 [1]. *The coefficients $a_{n,i}(q)$ satisfy*

$$a_{n,i}(q) = q^{n(n-2i-1)/2} a_{n,n-1-i}(q), \quad (1.3)$$

and, for $n \geq 2$, the integer coefficients $a_{n,i}^{(j)}$ are symmetric

$$a_{n,i}^{(j)} = a_{n,i}^{(i(n-1-i)-j)}, \quad \text{for all } j. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular

$$a_{n,i}^{(0)} = \binom{n-1}{i}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$a_{n,i}^{(1)} = (n-2) \binom{n-1}{i} - \binom{n-2}{i+1} - \binom{n-2}{i-2}. \quad (1.6)$$

2. THE SHARP LOWER BOUND FOR $\|G_r^{-1}\|_\infty$

Before proving the theorem we need to do some preparation.

LEMMA 2.1. *The following equalities hold*

$$\frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^k; q)}{\gamma_{2k-1}(q-1)^{2k-1}} = (-)^{k-1} q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2} (\alpha_{2k-1,j} - \beta_{2k-1,j}) q^j$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2k-1,j} &:= a_{2k-1,k-1}^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-1,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i-1))} + a_{2k-1,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i+1))}), \\ \beta_{2k-1,j} &:= a_{2k-1,k-2}^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-1,k-2-2i}^{(j-i(2i-1))} + a_{2k-1,k-2-2i}^{(j-i(2i+1))}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

For convenience, here and below we use

$$a_{n,i}^{(r)} := 0, \quad \text{if } r < 0 \text{ or } r > i(n-1-i) \text{ as well as } i < 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2k-1,0} &= \binom{2k-2}{k-1}, \\ \beta_{2k-1,0} &= \binom{2k-2}{k-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\Pi_{2k-2}(-q^{k-1}; q)}{\gamma_{2k-2}(q-1)^{2k-2}} = (-)^{k-1} q^{(1/2)(k-1)(3k-4)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)(k-2)} (\alpha_{2k-2,j} - \beta_{2k-2,j}) q^j \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2k-2,j} &= \beta_{2k-2,j} := a_{2k-2,k-2}^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i-1))} + a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i+1))}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{2k-2,k-2-i}^{(j-(1/2)i(i+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of $a_{n,i}(q)$ and $a_{n,i}^{(i)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^k; q)}{\gamma_{2k-1}(q-1)^{2k-1}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} a_{2k-1,i}(q)(-q^k)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} \sum_{j=0}^{i(2k-2-i)} (-)^i q^{\Phi_i + j} a_{2k-1,i}^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Phi_i := \frac{1}{2}(2k-1-i)(2k-2-i) + ik,$$

$$\min_{0 \leq i \leq 2k-2} \Phi_i = q^{(3/2)k(k-1)}.$$

Let

$$\varphi_i := \Phi_i - \frac{3}{2} k(k-1) = \frac{1}{2} (k-1-i)(k-2-i).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^k; q)}{\gamma_{2k-1}(q-1)^{2k-1}} \\ &= q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} \sum_{j=0}^{i(2k-2-i)} (-)^i a_{2k-1,i}^{(j)} q^{\varphi_i+j} \\ &= q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2-i^2} (-)^{k-1-i} q^{(1/2)i(i-1)+j} a_{2k-1,k-1-i}^{(j)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2-i^2} (-)^{k-1+i} q^{(1/2)i(i+1)+j} a_{2k-1,k+i-1}^{(j)} \right] \\ &= (-)^{k-1} q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2} a_{2k-1,k-1}^{(j)} q^j + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2-i^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (-)^i (q^{(1/2)i(i-1)+j} a_{2k-1,k-1-i}^{(j)} + q^{(1/2)i(i+1)+j} a_{2k-1,k-1-i}^{(j)}) \right] \\ &= (-)^{k-1} q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2} \left[(a_{2k-1,k-1}^{(j)} - a_{2k-1,k-2}^{(j)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-1,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i-1))} + a_{2k-1,k-1-2i}^{(j-i(2i+1))}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-1,k-2-2i}^{(j-i(2i-1))} + a_{2k-1,k-2-2i}^{(j-i(2i+1))}) \right] q^j, \end{aligned}$$

and (2.1) follows.

By (2.1) and (1.5) we get

$$a_{2k-1,0} = a_{2k-1,k-1}^{(0)} = \binom{2k-2}{k-1},$$

$$\beta_{2k-1,0} = a_{2k-1,k-2}^{(0)} = \binom{2k-2}{k-2}.$$

The same kind of argument proves (2.4).

An analogous argument gives

$$\frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^k; q)}{\gamma_{2k-1}(q-1)^{2k-1}} = q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2} (\alpha_{2k-1,j} + \beta_{2k-1,j}) q^j, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{\Pi_{2k-2}(q^{k-1}; q)}{\gamma_{2k-2}(q-1)^{2k-2}} = q^{(1/2)(k-1)(3k-4)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)(k-2)} (\alpha_{2k-2,j} + \beta_{2k-2,j}) q^j. \quad (2.6)$$

A straightforward calculation starting with the formula defining $\Pi_n(\lambda; q)$ leads to the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^k; q)}{\gamma_{2k-1}(q-1)^{2k-1}} \\ &= \binom{2k-1}{k} q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1+q+\dots+q^i)^2 (1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}) \\ &=: \binom{2k-1}{k} q^{(3/2)k(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)^2} d_{2k-1,j} q^j, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Pi_{2k-2}(q^{k-1}, q)}{\gamma_{2k-2}(q-1)^{2k-2}} = \binom{2k-2}{k-1} q^{(1/2)(k-1)(3k-4)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (1+q+\dots+q^i)^2 \\ &=: \binom{2k-2}{k-1} q^{(1/2)(k-1)(3k-4)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-1)(k-2)} d_{2k-2,j} q^j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) it is easy to find the relations

$$\binom{2k-1}{k} d_{2k-1,i} = \alpha_{2k-1,i} + \beta_{2k-1,i}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\binom{2k-2}{k-1} d_{2k-2,i} = \alpha_{2k-2,i} + \beta_{2k-2,i} = 2\alpha_{2k-2,i}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$d_{2k-1,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} d_{2k-2,i-j}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$d_{2k-2,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} d_{2k-3,i-j}, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$d_{2k-1,i} = d_{2k-1,(k-1)^2-i}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$d_{2k-2,i} = d_{2k-2,(k-1)(k-2)-i}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$d_{n,i} := \alpha_{n,i} := 0 \quad \text{if } i < 0.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *The following equality holds:*

$$a_{n,i}^{(l)} = \sum_{r=l-i}^l a_{n-1,i}^{(r)} + \sum_{r=l+i-n+1}^l a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)}. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. By (1.2) and the definition of $a_{n,i}^{(i)}$

$$a_{n,i}(q) = q^{(n-i)(n-1-i)/2} \sum_{l=0}^{i(n-1-i)} a_{n,i}^{(l)} q^l \quad (*)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,i}(q) &= q^{n-1-i}(1+q+\cdots+q^i) a_{n-1,i}(q) \\ &\quad + (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-i-1}) a_{n-1,i-1}(q) \\ &= q^{(n-i)(n-1-i)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{i(n-1-i)} \left(\sum_{r=j-i}^j a_{n-1,i}^{(r)} + \sum_{r=j+i-n+1}^j a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)} \right) q^j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Comparing with (*), (2.15) follows.

COROLLARY 2.1. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$a_{n,i}^{(l)} \geq a_{n,i}^{(l-1)} \quad \text{for } l \leq [\frac{1}{2}i(n-i-1)], \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$a_{n,i}^{(l)} \geq a_{n,i-1}^{(l)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq i(n-1-i), i \leq [(n-1)/2]. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. We use mathematical induction to prove (2.17), (2.18). Suppose for $n-1$, (2.17), (2.18) hold. Using (2.15),

$$a_{n,i}^{(l)} - a_{n,i}^{(l-1)} = (a_{n-1,i}^{(l)} - a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i-1)}) + (a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l)} - a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l+i-n)}).$$

Since by (1.4),

$$a_{n,i}^{(l)} = a_{n,l}^{(i(n-1-i)-l)}$$

and

$$l \leq \frac{1}{2}i(n-1-i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-1,i}^{(l)} &\geq a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i-1)}, \quad \text{if } l \leq \frac{1}{2}i(n-2-i), \\ a_{n-1,i}^{(l)} &\geq a_{n-1,i}^{[(1/2)i(n-2-i)-i/2]} \geq a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i-1)}, \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2}i(n-2-i) < l \leq \frac{1}{2}i(n-1-i). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$a_{n-1,i}^{(l)} \geq a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i-1)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq \frac{1}{2}i(n-1-i).$$

The same kind of argument shows

$$a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l)} \geq a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l+i-n)}.$$

Now, we bring the induction hypothesis to the next level and (2.17) is proved since it obviously holds for $n=2$.

In order to prove (2.18), it is enough to prove (2.18), only for $0 \leq l \leq \frac{1}{2}(i-1)(n-i)$ because of (2.17) and (1.4). By (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,i}^{(l)} - a_{n,i-1}^{(l)} &= \sum_{r=l-i+1}^l (a_{n-1,i}^{(r)} - a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=l+i-n+1}^l (a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)} - a_{n-1,i-2}^{(r)}) + (a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i)} - a_{n-1,i-2}^{(l+i-n)}). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis and (2.17), we know

$$a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)} \geq a_{n-1,i-2}^{(r)}$$

and

$$a_{n-1,i}^{(r)} - a_{n-1,i-1}^{(r)} \geq 0$$

as well as

$$a_{n-1,i}^{(l-i)} \geq a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l-i)} \geq a_{n-1,i-1}^{(l+i-n)} \geq a_{n-1,i-2}^{(l+i-n)}.$$

Therefore (2.18) holds for n and so (2.18) is proved, since it is obviously right for $n=2$.

LEMMA 2.3. *The following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2k-1,j} &= 2 \sum_{r=j-k+1}^j a_{2k-2,k-1}^{(r)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i-3)}^{j-i(2i-1)} a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-i(2i+1)} a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)} + \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i-1)}^{j-i(2i+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \times a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} + \left. \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i+3)}^{j-i(2i+1)} a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=j-k+1}^j \alpha_{2k-2,l} \\
&= \sum_{r=j-k+1}^j a_{2k-2,k-2}^{(r)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i-1)}^{j-i(2i-1)} a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-i(2i+1)} a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)} \right). \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1), (2.4) and Lemma 2.2, by straightforward calculations, (2.19) and (2.20) can be proved. ■

LEMMA 2.4. For $j \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k-1)^2 \rfloor$, the inequality

$$\alpha_{2k-1,j} \leq \alpha_{2k-1,0} \cdot d_{2k-1,j}$$

holds.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{2k-1,0} \cdot d_{2k-1,j} &= \binom{2k-2}{k-1} \sum_{l=j-k+1}^j d_{2k-2,l} \\
&= 2 \sum_{l=j-k+1}^j \alpha_{2k-2,l}, \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

in order to prove Lemma 2.4 it is enough to show

$$\alpha_{2k-1,j} \leq 2 \sum_{l=j-k+1}^j \alpha_{2k-2,l}. \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.19) and (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{l=j-k+1}^j \alpha_{2k-2,l} - \alpha_{2k-1,j} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i-1)}^{j-k-i(2i-3)} a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} + \sum_{r=j+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-i(2i-1)} \right. \\
&\quad \times a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} - \sum_{r=j+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-i(2i-1)} a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)} \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i+3)}^{j-k-i(2i+1)} a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=j+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-i(2i-1)} (a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} - a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{r=j-k+1-i(2i+1)}^{j-k-i(2i-3)} (a_{2k-2,k-1-2i}^{(r)} - a_{2k-2,k-2-2i}^{(r-4i)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Because of (2.17), (2.18) and (1.4), Lemma 2.4 follows. ■

THEOREM. *For any $q \in (0, \infty)$ and for $r = k-1, k$, the inequality*

$$\|G_r^{-1}\| = \left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^r; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1(-q^r); q}} \right| \geq 2k-1 \quad (3.0)$$

holds, and $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \|G_r^{-1}\| = 2k-1$.

Proof. Because of the symmetry of $\Pi_n(\lambda; q)$, we can restrict our discussion to the case $q \in [1, \infty)$.

By (2.1), (2.3), (2.7), Lemma 2.4 and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^{k-1}; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^{k-1}; q)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^k; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^k; q)} \right| \\
&= \frac{\binom{2k-1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)^2} d_{2k-1,i} q^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)^2} (2a_{2k-1,i} - \binom{2k-1}{k} d_{2k-1,i}) q^i} \\
&\geq \frac{\binom{2k-1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)^2} d_{2k-1,i} q^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)^2} (2a_{2k-1,0} \cdot d_{2k-1,i} - \binom{2k-1}{k} d_{2k-1,i}) q^i} \\
&= 2k-1,
\end{aligned}$$

and we refer to [1] for equality.

The proof of the theorem relies mainly on Lemma 2.4. In order to prove the monotonicity of

$$\left| \frac{\Pi_{2k-1}(q^k; q)}{\Pi_{2k-1}(-q^k; q)} \right| \quad \text{for } q \in (0, \infty),$$

it is sufficient to prove the stronger inequality

$$\frac{a_{2k-1,j}}{a_{2k-1,j+1}} \geq \frac{d_{2k-1,j}}{d_{2k-1,j+1}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} (k-1)^2 \right].$$

We have failed to prove this inequality. But numerical results (see tables) show the inequality is true at least for $n \leq 9$.

3. APPENDIX

 $\alpha_{n,i}, \beta_{n,i}, d_{n,i}$ for $4 \leq n \leq 9$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, [(n-1)/2] \cdot [n/2]$

| n | α, β, d | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-----|----|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 4 | $\alpha = \beta$ | 3 | 6 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | d | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | α | 6 | 17 | 22 | 17 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | β | 4 | 13 | 18 | 13 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | d | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | $\alpha = \beta$ | 10 | 40 | 80 | 100 | 80 | 40 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | d | 1 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | α | 20 | 96 | 242 | 422 | 548 | 548 | 422 | 242 | 96 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | β | 15 | 79 | 213 | 383 | 502 | 502 | 383 | 213 | 79 | 15 | | | | | | | |
| | d | 1 | 5 | 13 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | $\alpha = \beta$ | 35 | 210 | 665 | 1470 | 2485 | 3360 | 3710 | 3360 | 2485 | 1470 | 665 | 210 | 35 | | | | |
| | d | 1 | 6 | 19 | 42 | 71 | 96 | 106 | 96 | 71 | 42 | 19 | 6 | 1 | | | | |
| 9 | α | 70 | 476 | 1728 | 4449 | 9005 | 15073 | 21448 | 26354 | 28202 | 26354 | 21448 | 15073 | 9005 | 4449 | 1728 | 476 | 70 |
| | β | 56 | 406 | 1548 | 4119 | 8509 | 14411 | 20636 | 25432 | 27238 | 25432 | 20636 | 14411 | 8509 | 4119 | 1548 | 406 | 56 |
| | d | 1 | 7 | 26 | 68 | 139 | 234 | 334 | 411 | 440 | 411 | 334 | 234 | 139 | 68 | 26 | 7 | 1 |

$$a_{n,i}^{(j)} \leq n \leq 9, i = 0, 1, [(n-1)/2], j = 0, 1, \dots, i(n-1-i)$$

| n | I | J | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----|-----|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-----|----|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 6 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 10 | 35 | 66 | 80 | 66 | 35 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 6 | 20 | 34 | 34 | 20 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 15 | 64 | 149 | 233 | 269 | 233 | 149 | 64 | 15 | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 20 | 90 | 222 | 382 | 494 | 494 | 382 | 222 | 90 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 7 | 27 | 55 | 69 | 55 | 27 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 21 | 105 | 288 | 540 | 765 | 855 | 765 | 540 | 288 | 105 | 21 | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 35 | 189 | 560 | 1175 | 1918 | 2540 | 2785 | 2540 | 1918 | 1175 | 560 | 189 | 35 | | | | |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 8 | 35 | 83 | 125 | 125 | 83 | 35 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 28 | 160 | 503 | 1091 | 1806 | 2400 | 2632 | 2400 | 1806 | 1091 | 503 | 160 | 28 | | | | |
| | 3 | 56 | 350 | 1198 | 2913 | 5561 | 8767 | 11736 | 13536 | 13536 | 11736 | 8767 | 5561 | 2913 | 1198 | 350 | 56 | |
| | 4 | 70 | 448 | 1568 | 3918 | 7754 | 12764 | 17956 | 21916 | 23402 | 21916 | 17956 | 12764 | 7754 | 3918 | 1568 | 448 | 70 |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Carl de Boor for his valuable help.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Y. FENG AND J. KOZAK, On the generalized Euler–Frobenius polynomial, *J. Approx. Theory* **32** (1981), 327–338.
2. A. MICCHELLI, Cardinal L -splines, in “Studies in Spline Functions and Approximation Theory,” pp. 203–250, Academic Press, New York/London, 1976.